

## Republic of the Philippines National Electrification Administration

Quezon City

15 March 2006

NEA MEMORANDUM No. 2006-007

ΤO

: ALL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVES (ECs)

SUBJECT

2005 CATEGORIZATION AND CLASSIFICATION OF ECS

In 2005, the Revised Criteria for Categorization of ECs through NEA Memorandum of 29 December 2004 was applied in the evaluation of performance. Thus, a rigid comparison of the other year (2004) and last year's (2005) performance level may not be precisely presented because of the difference in parameters used.

In our Categorization Advisory dated 23 February 2006, we stated the reasons for the deferment of the application of the criterion on power reliability. In its stead, we credited the corresponding points to barangay energization, which is our mandate that must be fulfilled.

For 2005, the evaluation reflected the following:

1) Category A+ was attained by some fifty-eight (58) ECs while 10 remained under Category E.

2) Perfect score of 100% was garnered by seven (7) ECs.

Systems loss national average was 14.27% from 14.43% in 2004. Sixtynine (69) ECs or 57% registered systems loss within the cap of 14%, eleven (11) of which were able to attain the single digit mark.

The 100% collection efficiency level was attained by some twenty-two (22) ECs while fifty-seven (57) posted the NEA standard of 95%.

Ninety-five (95) ECs or 79% were current in the payment of their power accounts with NPC while eighty-three (83) were current in their TRANSCO payments.

6) 100% barangay energization was attained by fifty seven (57) ECs.

7) Region VII was able to maintain its record of having all its ten (10) member ECs attain Category A+.

Determined to compete and survive under the new environment, the ECs persistently pursued their plans towards operational efficiency. Despite the EPIRA requirements to contend with and the revised criteria applied in measuring accomplishments, their unfaltering commitment to the program still became very apparent.

Systems Loss is an essential area in coop operations where collective effort is required. The difficulty in lowering systems loss attributable to various factors, some of which are beyond the ECs' control, is still being experienced by some.

All considered, the best step to take is for the NEA and ECs to remain as steadfast partners to ensure continuity of program implementation, compete and survive in the competitive environment and respond to the demands of our vigilant member-consumers.

The Committee on Categorization shall undertake a further review/refinement on the revised criteria to be able to reflect a very realistic level of performance and correspondingly bestow appropriate awards to the performers.

Finally, the result of the 2005 Categorization and Classification, as attached, shall serve as the basis for the upward and downward implementation of per diems and allowances. Compliance is strictly enjoined. Such adjustment shall take effect 01 April 2006.

EDITA S. BUENO

Administrator

NATIONAL ELECTRIFICATION ADMINISTRATION

IN REPLYING, PLS. CITE: #0R008266

3/24/0



## Republic of the Philippines National Electrification Administration

23 March 2006

#### **MEMORANDUM**

FOR: THE ADMINISTRATOR

FROM: THE CATEGORIZATION AND CLASSIFICATION

COMMITTEE

**SUBJECT:** Calendar Year (CY) 2005 Categorization and Classification

of Electric Cooperatives (ECs)

May we submit for your consideration, the result of the evaluation made by the Committee on Categorization and Classification on the operational performance of the ECs for CY 2005.

#### I. CATEGORIZATION

As indicated in the Advisory to all ECs dated 23 February 2006, we applied the new set of categorization criteria issued on December 29, 2004, except the deferment of the criterion on power reliability, due to the following:

- 1) The Energy Regulatory Commission (ERC) is still in the process of formulating the standards on power reliability; and
- 2) The clamor of ECs to study further the standards set for said criterion, which were based on international power reliability standards and said to be not applicable at this time.

The five (5) points for power reliability were credited to the ECs' level of barangay energization with the following point system:

Level of Energization (%)	Score
100	10
90-99	8
80-89	6
60-79	4
40-59	2
Below 40	0

The incentive points for this criterion remain the same. The Committee gave one (1) point for every ten (10) barangays energized for the year 2005, but not to exceed two (2) points, provided, that the total point score for this criterion shall not exceed ten (10) points and that the overall total score for an EC does not go beyond 100 points.

After a thorough evaluation of the ECs' requests for reconsideration on the tentative result of the 2005 categorization, summary of ECs' categorization follows:

Category	No. of ECs
A+	58
A	13
В	17
С	10
D	9
E	. 10
NC	3
TOTAL	120*

\*including NEECO II - Area 2

### Highlights of the 2005 EC Categorization:

### 1) ECs with Perfect Scores

Seven (7) ECs were able to attain 100 points. These are BATANELCO, CEBECO I, CEBECO III, PROSIELCO, DORECO, DASURECO and SIARELCO. These ECs also garnered perfect scores based on last year's categorization, except for BATANELCO with 99 point score.

### 2) Best Region

Region VII is the best region for having all its ten (10) ECs maintain their A+ ratings. This can be attributed to the compact of cooperation extended by and among the member-ECs in this region.

#### 3) Amortization Payment to NEA

A total of one hundred three (103) ECs or 89% are current in their amortization payments to NEA (89 of which are regular loans while 14 are restructured), leaving only 17 ECs in arrears. Of the said 103 ECs, 73 have advance payments, 44 of which garnered the five (5) maximum incentive points for advance amortization of at least five (5) EQAs.

#### 4) System Loss

There are sixty-nine (69) ECs or 57% which registered system loss within the cap of 14%, eleven (11) of which were able to attain the single-digit mark, as follows: BATANELCO (8%), CEBECO I (9%), CEBECO II (7%), PROSIELCO (9%), BOHECO I (8%), MORESCO I (6%), DORECO (7%), DASURECO (8%), SIASELCO (9%), SIARELCO (9%) and DIELCO (9%).

On a regional basis, Region VII posted the lowest system loss level at 9.86%, followed by Region X (11.81%), CAR (12.07%), Region XI (12.65%), Region IV-B (12.87%) and Region VI (13.52%). These contributed to the national average of 14.27% at the end of 2005, as compared to 14.43% in 2004.

Increase in the system loss of some ECs was however noted. This had been partly due to the continuing low supply voltage, increase in pilferages in view of high power rates and some provisions of Magna Carta for Residential Electricity Consumers, which weakened or delayed the implementation of the Anti – Pilferage Law.

#### 5) Collection Efficiency

Twenty-two (22) ECs attained 100% collection efficiency on top of the additional fifty-seven (57) which posted collection performance within the NEA standard of 95%. Thus, the total of 79 ECs which garnered maximum point scores of 15 under this criterion.

All ECs in Regions I, VII, IX, XI, XII and CARAGA recorded at least 95% collection efficiency. The 2005 national average was computed at 95.21% as compared to 95.94% in 2004. This is mainly due to the corrections made on some ECs, which reclassified their Consumers Accounts Receivables to Other Accounts, as per NEA audit.

### 6) Payment to GENCO and TRANSCO

Ninety-five (95) ECs or 79% are current in the payment of their power accounts, including three (3) with restructured payment scheme. However, only 83 ECs (69%) are current in their payments with TRANSCO.

There are only three (3) regions with all their respective ECs which are current in payment with both GENCO and TRANSCO, namely: Regions I, XI and XII.

#### 7) Non-Power Cost

All ECs in five (5) regions - I, CAR, VII, IX and XI had their non-power costs level within their respective approved Cash Operating Budgets. A total of ninety-seven (97) ECs or 81% earned the maximum point score of 10 for maintaining their expenditures within their approved non-power costs level.

### 8) Barangay Energization

There are fifty-seven (57) ECs which have attained the level of 100% barangay energization, as of December 31, 2005. Twenty-one (21) ECs were granted incentive points under this criterion for energizing at least ten (10) barangays in 2005. However, there is no single region which has energized all barangays in their respective coverage areas, as of the review date.

### 9) Demerit Points for Cash Advances

The ECs' huge cash advances, including disallowances resulting from the audits conducted by the EC Audit Department, had been a significant factor which affected some of the ECs' 2005 categorization.

Regions I, VII, X, XI and CARAGA were the only regions where all ECs did not incur even a single demerit point.

The following ECs incurred the highest demerit points:

EC	Cash Advance (P'000)	Demerit Points
QUEZELCO I	1,360	20
COTELCO	971	19
CASURECO II	960	19
BASELCO	945	18
PELCO II	. 766	15
VRESCO	633	13

### 10) Regions Needing More NEA Attention

The ARMM (with only SIASELCO categorized as A), together with Region V (with only FICELCO at A category), are the continuing concerns of NEA for not having shown improvements in the 2005 categorization.

#### II. CLASSIFICATION

For 2005, we applied the same criteria on the Classification of ECs for 2004, using the average statistical data on MWH sales, service connections and kilometers of lines, for the last three (3) years.

The resultant classification of ECs, in comparison to 2004, is summed up below:

Classification	2004	2005	Increase/ (Decrease)
ML	29	34	5
EL	39	36	(3)
Ŀ	32	31	(1)
M	11	10	(1)
S	8	8	Ö
Total	119	119*	

<sup>\*</sup> excluding LASURECO, which did not comply with the submission of requirements.

The Committee likewise recommends for a further review of the existing Criteria on Categorization, so as to make the same more reflective of the actual level of performance of the ECs.

Madam, for your approval.

THE CATEGORIZATION AND CLASSIFICATION COMMITTEE

LEILA B. BONDFACIO

Membe

BENITA T. CUENCO

Member

Member

PAULINO T. LOPEZ

Member

IL and SONIA B. SAN DIEGO

Member

SEGUBAN, JR.

(Amber

Frazeisco O. Ca FRANCISCO A. CÁYMO

Co-Chairperson

**MILAGROS** QUINAJON

Chairperson

Approved:

EDITA S. BUENO

Administrator

NATIONAL ELECTRIFICATION

5

### 2005 CLASSIFICATION OF ELECTRIC COOPERATIVES

			POINT S	CORE		CL A	ASS
NO.	COOPERATIVES	SALES	CONNECTIONS	KM OF	TOTAL		
		07.220	CONTROL	LINES	POINT	2004	2005
					SCORE		2000
1	BATELEC II	40	30	30	100	ML	ML
2	BENECO	40	30	30	100	ML	ML
3	DANECO	40	30	30	100	ML	ML
4.	CENPELCO	40	30	30	100	ML	ML
5	SOCOTECO II	40	30	30	· 100	ML	ML
6	ALECO	40	30	30	100	ML	ML
7	INEC	40	30	30	100	ML	ML
8	ISELCO I	40	30	30	100	ML	ML
9	CENECO	40	30	25	95	ML	ML
10	BATELEC I	40	30	25	95	ML	ML
11	PANELCO III	40	30	25	95	ML	ML
12	NOCECO	35	25	30	90	ML	ML
13	ISECO	35	30	25	90	ML	ML
14	ANECO	40	25	25	90	ML	ML
15	QUEZELCO I	30	30	- 30	90	ML	ML
16	PENELCO	40	30	20	90	ML	ML
17	TARELCO I	35	30	25	90	ML	ML
18	ZAMSURECO I	35	25	30	90	ML	ML
19	CAPELCO	35	25	30	90	EL	ML
20	NORECO II	35	25	25	85	ML	ML
21	ORMECO	30	25	30	85	ML	ML
22	ZAMCELCO	40	25	20	85	ML .	ML
23	DASURECO	35	25	25	85	ML ·	ML
24	PELCO II	40	25	20	85	ML	ML
25	LUELCO	35	25	25	85	ML	ML
26	CEBECO II	35	25	25	85	ML	: ML
27	COTELCO	30	25	30	85	ML	ML
28	FIBECO	30	25	30	85	ML	ML
29	ZANECO	30	25	30	85	ML	ML
30	SOCOTECO I	35	25	25	85	ML	ML
31	BOHECO I	30	25	30	85	EL	ML
32	CANORECO	30	25	30	85	EL	ML
33	CASURECO II	40	25	20	85	EL	ML
34	ILECO I	35	25	25	85	EL	ML
35	CEBECO I	30	25	25	80	EL	EL
36	VRESCO	30	25	25	80	EL	EL
37	TARELCO II	35	25	20	80	- EL	EL
38	ILECO II	30	25	25	80	EL	EL
39	ZAMSURECO II	30	25	25	80	EL	EL
40	AKELCO	30	25	25	80	EL	EL
41	PELCO III	40	20	20	80	EL	EL
42	LANECO	25	25	30	80	EL	EL
43	ISELCO II	30	25	25	80	EL	EL
44	PALECO .	30	25	25	. 80	EL	EL
45	CAGELCO I	30	.25	25	80	EL	EL
46	NUVELCO	30	25	25	80	EL	EL

( My

### 2005 CLASSIFICATION OF ELECTRIC COOPERATIVES

3			POINT S	CORE		CLA	SS
NO.	COOPERATIVES	SALES	CONNECTIONS	KM OF	TOTAL		
				LINES	POINT	2004	2005
					SCORE		
47	ASELCO	30	25	25	80	EL	EL
48	CAGELCO II	30	25	25	80	EL	EL
49	MAGELCO	30	20	30	80	EL	EL
50	LEYECO V	30	25	20	75	EL	EL
51	NEECO I	30	25	20	75	EL	EL
52	NEECO II- Area I	30	25	20	75	EL	EL
53	SUKELCO	30	20	25	75	EL	EL
54	NEECO II- Area II	30	25	20	75	EL	EL
55	BUSECO	30	20	. 25	75	EL	EL
56	DORECO	30	25	20	75	EL	EL
57	BOHECO II	25	25	25	75	EL	EL
58	MOELCIII	30	25	20	75	EL	EL
59	MORESCO I	30	20	25	75	EL	EL
60	PANELCO I	30	20	25	75	EL	EL
61	SURSECOI	25	25	20	70	EL	EL
62	LEYECO II	35	20	15	70	EL	EL
63	PELCO I	30	25	15	70	EL	EL
64	SORECO II	25	25	20	70	EL	EL
65	ZAMECO II	30	20	20	70	EL	EL
66	CEBECO III	30	20	- 20	70	EL	EL
67	MORESCO II	30	20	20	70	EL	EL
68	SURNECO	30	20	20	70	EL	EL
69	CASURECO III	25	25	20	70	L	EL
70	SOLECO	25	25	20	70	L	EL
71	ANTECO	25	20	20	65	L	L
72	CASURECO I	25	20	20	65	L	L
73	OMECO	25	20	20	65	L	L
74	LEYECO I	25	20	20	65	L	L
75	SAMELCO II	25	20	20	65	L	L
76	NORECO I	25	20	20	65	L	L
77	ILECO III	25	20	20	65	L	L
78	MOELCII	25	20	20	65	L	L
79	NORSAMELCO	25	20	20	65	L	L
80	SURSECO II	25	20	20	65	L	L
81	LEYECO IV	25	20	20	65	L	L
82	FLECO	30	20	15	65	L	L
83	ESAMELCO	25	20	20	65	L	L
84	ABRECO	25	20	20	65	L	L
85	SORECO I	25	20	. 20	65	L	L
86	ZAMECO I	30	20	15	65	L	L
87	SAJELCO	25	20	. 15	60	L L	L
88	CASURECO IV	-20	20	20	60	L	L
89	MARELCO	20	20	20	60	L	L
90	FICELCO	20	20	20	60	L	L
91	QUIRELCO	20	20	20	60	L	L
92	SAMELCO I	25	20	15	60	· L	L

( Note for

## 2005 CLASSIFICATION OF ELECTRIC COOPERATIVES

			POINT S	CORE		CLA	SS	
NO.	COOPERATIVES	SALES	CONNECTIONS	KM OF LINES	TOTAL POINT SCORE	2004	2005	
93	AURELCO	20	20	20	60	L	L	
94	MASELCO	25	20	15	60	L	L	
95	KAELCO	20	15	20	55	L	L	
96	LEYECO III	20	20	15	55	L.	L	
97	MOPRECO	20	15	20	55	L	L	
98	TIELCO	20	15	20	. 55 .	L	L	
99	GUIMELCO	20 .	15	20	55	L	L	
100	QUEZELCO II	20	20	15	55	М	L	
101	BASELCO	20	20	15	55	L	L	
102	SULECO	20	15	15	50	М	M	
103	BILECO	20	15	15	50	М	M	
104	IFELCO	20	15	15	50	M	M	
105	PROSIELCO	20	15	15	50	M	M	
106	CAMELCO	20	15	15	50	M	M	
107	SIARELCO	20	15	15	50	M	M	
108	PRESCO	20	15	10	45	M	M	
109	BANELCO	20	15	10	45	M	M	
110	ROMELCO	20	10	15	45	M	M	
111	CELCO	20	-10	15	45	M	M	
112	BATANELCO	20	10	10	40	S	S	
113	BISELCO	20	10	• 10	40	S	S	
114	CASELCO	20	10	10	40	S	S	
115	DIELCO	20	10	10	40	S	S	
116	LUBELCO	20	10	10	40	S	S	
117	SIASELCO	20	10	10	40	S	S	
118	TAWELCO	20	10	10	40	S	S	
119	TISELCO	20	10	10	40	S	S	

12

is from

•	Amortizat	ion Payment		em Loss	Collection		nent to	Non-	Brgy. E	nergization	Demerit Points	1	2005
COOPERATIVE	Major	Incentive	Major	Incentive	Efficiency	GENCO	TRANSCO	Power	Major	Incentive	Cash		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								Cost	·		Adv.	Total	Catego
ion i													,
ILOCOS NORTE	C		13		100	C	С	Within	100		. 5		A+
	20	. 5	21		15	10	5	10	10		0	96	Α,
· ·													
ILOCOS SUR	С		14		100	С	. C	Within	99		9 .		Α+
ilosos con	20	5	20		15	10	5	10	8		0 .	93	Α.
LA UNION	С		14		100	С	C	Within	100		39		Α+
	20	2	20	3	15 ·	10	5	10 `	10		0	92	ΑT
PANGASINAN I	С		16		100	С	С	Within	100		11		В
1744676117417	20	.2	0	2	15	10	5	10	10		0	74	Ь
										,			
CENTRAL PANGASINAN	Res-C		19		96	С	С	Within	100		11		В
OCITIVE I MIGAGINAN	15		0		15	10	5	10 ·	10		0	65	D
		•											
PANGASINAN III	С		18		96	С	C	Within	100		12*		^
ANOMORANIII	20	5	0		15	10	- 5	10	10		0	75	. Α

<sup>\*</sup>excluding disallowances which were reclassified under Other Accounts Receivables in accordance with the Criteria on Categorization, subject to monthly monitoring of payments by ECAD

In say it

### 2005 EC CATEGORIZATION

		tion Payment	Syste	em Loss	Collection	Pavr	ment to	Non-	Bray F	nergization	Demerit Points		2005
COOPERATIVE	Major	Incentive	Major	Incentive			TRANSCO		Major	Incentive	Cash	<del> </del>	2005
								Cost			Adv.	Total	Category
REGION II													1
BATANES	С		8		100	С		Within	100		5		
	20	5	25		15	15		10	10		0	100	A+
	· · · · · ·	r				·						.00	
CAGAYANI	C	2	12		98	C.	С	Within	93	12	7 .		
	20	2	22	<u> </u>	15	10	5	- 10	8	• 1	0	93	A+
€	C		40									-	
CAGAYAN II	20	5	18		98	C ·	C	Within	94	,	0		
	1 20	J			15	10	5	10 `	8 -		0 .	74	В.,
IOARELA I	Res-C	T	14	Т	91	0 7		(4)		<u> </u>	:		
ISABELA I	15		20	2	11	C	<u>C</u> 5	(1)	100		206		Α
						10 1		0	10		(4)	77	
ISABELA II	C		18	T	93	C . I	1 1	(1)	94	<del> </del>	42 : 1		
IO/IBEE/(II	20	3	0		13	10	4	8	8		42	66	В
	-											00	
NUEVA VIZCAYA	C		14		95	C	C	(1)	91		56	·	·
	20		20		15	10	5	8	. 8		(1)	85	Α
		· · ·	10 1	——-									
QUIRINO	C 20	1	16.		97	С	С	Within	100		7		Б.
,	20	1 .	Ų		15	10	5	10	10		0	71	В

or to he

•		tion Payment		m Loss	Collection		nent to	Non-	Brgy. E	nergization	Demerit Points	2	2005
COOPERATIVE	Major	Incentive	Major	Incentive	Efficiency	GENCO	TRANSCO	Power	Major	Incentive	Cash .		
								Cost			Adv.	Total	Category
		1											9.1
CORDILLERA AUTONOMOUS REGION	(CAR)				. *								
ABRA	С		14		99	С	,C	Within	100		32		
7,0177	20		20		15	10	5	10	10		0	90	A+
									·				
BENGUET	R		11		100	C*	C*	Within	98		22	- 1	
BENOGET	(8)		23		15 .	10 -	5	10	8		0	63	С
IFUGAO	С.		18		84	С	C	Within	96	4	1		
	20	1	0	1	4	10	5	10 `	8		0	59	С
											L		
KALINGA-APAYAO	С		14		91	С	1	Within	87		49	T	
TOTELLON-ALATAO	20	N.	20	3	11	10	4	10	6		0	84	Α .
									•		L		
MT, PROVINCE	С		16		97	С	C.	Within	100		211		
. WITT TO VINGE	20		0		15	10	5	10	10		(4)	66	В
#													

<sup>\*</sup>with underbilling

Magraph A

00000017117		ion Payment		em Loss	Collection		ment to	Non-	Bray, E	nergization	Demerit Points	T	2005
COOPERATIVE	Major	Incentive	Major	Incentive	Efficiency	GENCO	TRANSCO	Power Cost	Major	Incentive	· Cash Adv.		Catego
ION III											7,000	Trotai	Toutege
AURORA	C		14		93	С	С	Within	93		6	·	<del></del>
HOROTOT	20		20		13	10	5	10	8		0	86	Α
TARLAGA	С	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11	T .	92			1000					
TARLACI	20	5	23		12	10	C	Within	100		18		A+
<u> </u>					12	10	5	10	10		0	95	
TARLAC II	C		11		96	С	С	Within	100	<del></del>	6	Γ	
THICE TO II	20	5	23		.15	10	5.	10 ,	10		0	98	A+
	I Boo C I	·	10				<u></u>						L
NUEVA ECIJA I	Res-C		19 0		- 86	C	Ċ	(1)	100		50		D
	1 15 1	J	0 1		6	10	5	8	10		(1)	53	D
NUEVA ECIJA II - Area 1	Res-C		-21		91	C*	С	(1)	100		146		
. TOETH EGION IT FAIGHT	15	•	0		11	10	.5	8	10		(2)	57	С
AULENA FOLIA II. A	C	·	14	·	94			10000					
NUEVA ECIJA II - Area 2	20	2	20		14	C 10	C 5	Within 10	100		39		A+
					14	10	2 1	.10	10		0 .	91	· · · · · ·
SAN JOSE CITY	. C		14		97	. · C	С	(1)	100	· I	16		
	20		20	2	15	10	· 5	8	10		0	90	Α+
PRESCO	С		12	<u> </u>	93	C	<u> </u>	Within	400 T	,			
PRESCO	20	1	22		13	10	C	10	100		19		A+
						10 1	3 ]	10	10		0	91	
PAMPANGA I	Res-C		18		93 -	С	C	Within	100		3		
	15	2	. 0	2	13	10	5	10	10		. 0	67	В
PAMPANGA II	R	T	23	т Т	86	4	C	Within	00 1	F	500		
FAMFANGA II	(8)		0	-	6	0	5	10	98		766		E
								10	0		(15)	6	
PAMPANGA III	Res-C		22		87	Res - 4	C	Within	100		141	Т	
	15		0.		7	(3)	5	10	10		(2)	42	D
PENINSULA (BATAAN)	С	Т	11		96	СТ	C T	Within	400				
PENINGOLA (BATAAN)	20	5	23		15	10	5	10	100		15		A+
								10, 1	10 1			98	
ZAMBALES I	C		15		87	С	С	Within	98	T	. 16	T	
	20		5	1	7	10	5	10	. 8		0	66	В
ZAMBALES II	R-1	Т	14		95	С	<u> </u>	Mithin I	100		100		
ZAIVIDALEO II	15		20		15	10	C	Within 10	100		166	82	Α

	Amortizatio	on Payment	Syste	m Loss				,			•		
COOPERATIVE	Major	Incentive			Collection		ment to	Non-	Brgy. E	nergization	Demerit Points	T	2005
	, major	moonave	Major	mcentive	Efficiency	GENCO	TRANSCO	1	Major	Incentive	Cash		
	—							Cost			Adv.	Total	Catego
REGION IV - A (CALABARZON)		·											
LAGUNA	R		22		85	Res-C	1	(2)	400				
, 100111	(8)		0	1	5	8	4	(2)	100		374		Е
							4	0	10		(7)	19	
BATANGAS I	C	1	14		98	. C	С	Within	400				
	20	5	20		15	10	5	10	100		0	-	A+
	÷							-10	10		0	95	
BATANGAS II	Res-C		13		95	1	. C	Within	100	- · · · · ·			
	15	2	21		15	8	5	10 `	100		0		· A
					· ·			10	10		0 '	86	
QUEZONI	С		17		93	С	СП	Within	100		4.000		
	20	5	0		13	10	. 5	10	100		1,360	750	D
								- 10 1	10		(20)	53	
QUEZON II	С		16		95	4	Res - C	Within	97				<u>-</u>
	20		0		15	0	4	10	8		38		С
•								10	<u> </u>		U	57	

vir lo agrid

### 2005 EC CATEGORIZATION

000000	Amortiza	tion Payment	Syste	em Loss	Collection	Dove	man44-	T				1	
COOPERATIVE	Major	Incentive	Major		Efficiency		ment to	Non-	Brgy. E	nergization	Demerit Points	1 2	2005
				oonave	Linciency	GENCO	TRANSCO	1	Major	Incentive	Cash		
		L						Cost			Adv.	Total	Category
													outogory
REGION IV - B (MIMAROPA)		,											
LUBANG	R		21	-	- 04			:					
LODANG	(8)		0		94	С		(2)	96		69		
	(0)				14	15		6	8.		(1)	34	D
OCCIDENTAL MINE OF	C		18 T										
OCCIDENTAL MINDORO	20	1	0		100	1*		Within	99		15 .		
	20				.15	12		10	8	7. 1	0	66	В
00:5::			10	· ·							0.	00	
ORIENTAL MINDORO	C		13		99	C		Within'	99				
	20 . [	5	21		15	15		10	8		0		A+
											0	94	- 1
MARINDUQUE	C		14		99	C*		Within	100			<del></del>	
	20	· 1	20	2	15	15		10	10.		27		A+
								10	10,		0	93	. ^'
TABLAS	C		10		100	C		AACH-1.	100				
	20	3.	24		15	15		Within 10	100		43		A .
						10		10	10		0 .	97	A+
ROMBLON	С		14		96	C	· · · · · ·	NAME OF T					
	20		20		15	15			100		38	T	
						13		10	10		.0	90	A+
BUSUANGA"	С		15		76	0							
25007111071	20	5	5		0	C		(8)	95		22	T	
						15		0	8		0 .	53	D
PALAWAN	C		10		05								
	20		24		95	C			71	28	12		
* for reconciliation		<u>_</u>			15	15		8	4	2		88	Α
												55	

A A

000000		Amortiza	tion Payment	Syste	em Loss	Collection	Pavi	ment to	Non-	Danie		T	,	
COOPERATIVE		Major	Incentive	Major	Incentive	Efficiency		TRANSCO		Brgy.		Demerit Points		2005
			1	·		·	GLINGO	INANSCO	Power Cost	Major	Incentive	Cash		
ION V									Cost			Adv.	Total	Catego
CAMARINEONOR		Res-C		15										
CAMARINES NORTE		15		5	. 1	.93 13	C*	С	(4)	99		35		T
	· ·				- 1	13	10	5	2	8		0	59	С
CAMARINES SUR I		R	İ	21	T	92	Res-3	С	10/2012					
10000011		(8)		0		12	(3)	5	Within 10	95		220		E
							(0)		10	1 8		(4)	20	
CAMARINES SUR II		Res-C		19		. 93	1	. C	(2)	100		000		,
		15		0	A	13	8	5	6	100		960 (19)	38	D
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,					10		(19)	38	
CAMARINES SUR III		R		25		82	Res - 3	Res - C	Within	100		56		
		(8)		0		2	(3)	. 4	10	10		(1)	14	E
041451150		С		17						•				
CAMARINES SUR IV		20		0		97	С	. C	Within	100		26		
						15 :	10	5	10	10		0	70	В
ALBAY		R	1	21		90	Res-5		1400 T					
7.25/11		(8)	1	0		10	·(3)	4	Within 10	99		296		Ė
							(0)	<del></del>	10	8		. (5)	16	<u> </u>
SORSOGONI		R		19		88	Res-1	·Res -C	Within	100		40		
		(8)	1**	0		8	5	4	10	10		48	30	D
		C		10							L		30	
SORSOGON II	· -  -	20		19	·	90	1	1	Within	100		276	—	
	<u> </u>			0		10	8	4	10	10		(5)	57	С
CATANDUANES		Res-C	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	14		07								
CATANDOANES	-	15		20		97 15	Res-C		Within	100 .		229	. 1	
						13	12	<u> </u>	10	10		(4)	78	Α
MASBATE		R		18		92	Res-1		(4)					
		(8)		0		12	7		2 (4)	62	12	115		E
									2	4	1.	(2)	16	<u> </u>
TICAO	_	R		27		87	20		Within T	64	· ·	152		
ies subject to condonation		(8)		0	1 .	7	0	-	10	•4		152 (3)	11	E

Page 7 of 16 CATEG05

COOPERATIVE			tion Payment		em Loss	Collection	Payr	ment to	Non-	Bray.	Energization	Demerit Points	<u> </u>	2005
OOO! ERATIVE		Major	Incentive	Major	Incentive	Efficiency	GENCO	TRANSCO	Power Cost	Major	Incentive	Cash Adv.		Catego
GION VI										1		T. Tav.	rotar	Catego
AKLAN		Res-C		14		97	1*	1	Within	94	10	19		
		15	2	20		15	. 8	4	10	8	1	0	90**	A+
ANTIQUE		С		12		98	С	C	(1)	94	33	21		
		20		22		15	10	5	8	8	. 2	0	90	A+
CAPIZ		С		14		97	C*	2	Within`	100	· ·	40		
		20	4	20		15	10	2	10	10		0	91	A+ ,
ILOILO I		С		12		100	С	CI	Within	99	39	0 1	, ,	
		20	5 \	22		15	10	5	10	8.	2	0 .	97	A+
ILOILO II		С		14		94	С	СТ	Within I	98	17	79		
		20	5	20		14	10	5	10	8	1	(1)	92	A+
ILOILO III		С		14	·	92	СП	С	Within	100	· · ·	03		
		20		20		12	10	5	10.	100		93	86	Α
GUIMARAS*		С		15	T	99	CI	C***	Within I	97		00		
001111111111111111111111111111111111111	1	. 20	. 1	5	2	15	10	5	10	8		32	76	Α
VRESCO		C		15		96	СТ	С	Within	95.	· · ·			
		20	5	5		15	10	5	10	8		663 (13)	65	В
CENTRAL NEGROS	. [	С		14		95	C*	С	Within	97				
		20	5	20		15	10	5	10	8		0	93	Α+
NEGROS OCCIDENTAL		C		12		100	СТ	С	Within I	100		400		
offsetting scheme with NDC		20	1	22		15 .	10	5	10	100		192	90	A+

<sup>\*\*</sup> in view of payment of current amortization for 2005

<sup>\*</sup>with offsetting scheme with NPC

\*\*including seven (7) SFGS incentive points for unprecedented initiatives in grassroots organizing and effective networking

\*\*with request for reconciliation on power factor penalty

0000001		tion Payment	Syste	em Loss	Collection	Pau	ment to	T Nie	T.5.				
COOPERATIVE	Major	Incentive	Major	Incentive	Efficiency	GENICO	TRANSCO	Non-	Brgy.	Energization	Demerit Points		2005
					Lindichey	GLIVEO	TRANSCO		Major	Incentive	Cash		
								Cost			Adv.	Total	Categor
GION VII						•			5 .				
GION VII			100				• •						
NEGROS OR. I	С		14		95	С	С	Within	07				
	20	5	20		15	10	5	-10	87 6	· ·	16		A+
								. 10	Ь		0.	91	ΑT
NEGROS OR, II	C		12	1	95	С	С	Within					
	20	5	22		15	10	5	10	99		28		A+
								10	8		0	95	Α÷
BANTAYAN	C		11	T	98	1*	<del></del>	10000					
	20	5	23	,	15	12		Within`	100		0		۸.
						- 12		10	10		0	95	A+
CEBUI	C		9		100	C** T	044						
	20	5	25		15	10	C**	Within	100		0		
				-	- 10	10	5	10	10,		0,	100	A+
CEBU II	C	T	10		98	C**							
	20	5	24		15	10	C**	Within	99	11	0		
					10	10	5	10	8	1	0	98	A+
CEBU III	C		7		100	0 1							
,	20	5	25		15	C 15		Within	100		0		
					13	15		10	10		0	100	A+
SIQUIJOR "	C		9		100		·						
3.4310314	20	5	25		15	C 15		Within	100		0		
						15		10	10		0	100	, A+
CAMOTES	C		10		100								
. 6711110120	20	. 5	24		15	C 15			100		37	T	
					15	15		10 ·	10		0	99	A+
BOHOLI	C		8		100								
DOTIOLI	20		25		100	C			100		0		
					15	10	5	10	10			95	A+
BOHOL II	C		11		100								
	20		23		100	С	С		100		3		
econciliation	ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ				15	10	5	10	10			94	A+

<sup>\*\*</sup>under court litigation

## 2005 EC CATEGORIZATION

000000	Amortiza	tion Payment	Syste	em Loss	Collection	Dove	ment to .	T					
COOPERATIVE	Major	Incentive	Major	Incentive	Efficiency	GENCO	TRANSCO	Non-	Brgy. E	nergization	Demerit Points		2005
						021100	MANGCC	Power Cost	Major	Incentive	Cash		
								COST	لـــــِــــا		Adv.	Total	Catego
ION.VIII													
LEYTE I/DORELCO	Res-C	Т	20		100								
ELTTE WOOKEECO	15		0 .	1	100	C	1 4	(1)	98		75		
						10		8	8		(1)	60	C
LEYTE II	С		14		96	C	С	Within	100				
	20		20		15	10	5	10	100		22	-00	A+
	T C T								10		0	90	
LEYTE III	20		17		92	С	С	Within	100		90		
	.1 20 ,1		0		12	10	5	. 10	10		(1)	66	В
LEYTE IV	C	T	11		00								
ELITEIV.	20 -	1	23		99	C 10	C 5	Within	98		41		
			-			10	5	10	8.		0	92	A+
LEYTE V	С		12	T	98	C	C	Within	100	· · ·			
	20	1	22		15	10	5	10	100		42	93	A+
COLITIEDALLE	C	·	4.4									93	
SOUTHERN LEYTE	20	5 .	14 20	2	95 15	С	C	Within	96	16	6		
					15	10	5	10	8	1	0	96	A+
BILIRAN	С		16		98	C		1400					
	20	5	0	2	15	10	C 5	Within 10	100		108		Δ .
								10	10		(2)	75	Α
NORTHERN SAMAR	(8)		18		88	4	5	Within	68	14	46		
	(0)		0	2	8	. 0	0	10	4	1		17	Ė
SAMAR I	C I		15	· · ·									
SAIVIAR I	20	2	5		94 14	C	3		85	65	77		
					14	10	0	0	6 .	2		58	С
SAMAR II	С		14		98	СТ	CT	Within I	04				
	20	5	20		15	10	5	10	91	45	28		A+
F. 0	D 0	т							0		0	95	۵.
EASTERN SAMAR	Res-C		18		94	С	5	Within	79	10	193		
	10 1		U	1	14	10	0	10	4	1		52	D

wir fragend as

COOPERATIVE	Amortiza Major	tion Payment Incentive	Syste Major	Collection Efficiency	-	nent to	Non-	Brgy. E	nergization	Demerit Points	·	2005
				Linciency	GENCO	TRANSCO	Power Cost	Major	Incentive	Cash		Category
REGION IX											Total	Oategory
ZAMBOANGA NORTE	C 20		16 0	95	C* -	С	Within	84	11	152		
ZAMBOANGA SUR I	С		17	15	10	5	10	6	1	(3)	64	С
ZAMIDOANGA SUR I	20	5	0	96 15	C -	C 5	Within 10	78	15	0	70	В
ZAMBOANGA SUR II	C 20		13	100	C	C	Within'	85	12 : 1	- 0 1	70	
	<del>'</del>	5	21	15	10	5	10	6	13	48 0	93	A+
ZAMBOANGA CITY  * penalties for condonation	20	5	0	96 15	Res-1	2 2	Within	100		29	<del>,</del> T	
beneates tot colldottation							10	10.		0	67	В

COOPERATIVE		tion Payment	Syste	em Loss	Collection	Pavr	nent to	Non-	Prov. F		To	,	
COOPERATIVE	Major	Incentive	Major	Incentive	Efficiency	GENCO	TRANSCO	Power	Major	Inergization	Demerit Points		2005
			<del>.</del>					Cost	Major	Incentive	Cash Adv.	Total	Catego
GION X													1
MISAMIS OCCIDENTAL I	C 20	5	12		95	1	1	Within	93		48		
	1 20 1	3 .1	22 ·		15	8	4	10	8		0	92	A+
MISAMIS OCCIDENTAL II	Res-C		13		95	. C	С	Within	100		46		
	1 15 1	5	21		15	10	5	10	10		0	91	A+
MISAMIS ORIENTAL I	С		6	T	97	C* T	C*	Within`	100 1				
	. 20	1	25	2	15	10	5	10	100		49	98	A+
MISAMIS ORIENTAL II	С		10		95	СТ	0 1					,	
	20	5 ·	24		15	10	C 5	Within 10	100		0	99	<b>A</b> +
BUKIDNON I	CT		11									33	
DOMBNON	20	5	23		99	C .	C	Within 10	98		12		A+
DI KADMON II	C		19						0 1		. 0	96	
BUKIDNON II	20	5	0		90	C 10	C 5	Within	99		46	T	
						10	5	10	8		0	68	В
CAMIGUIN"	C 20	2	12	5	95	1			100		0	<del>`</del> _	
					15	8	5	10	10			97	A+
LANAO NORTE	<u>C</u>	5	11		100	C	С	(1)	76	·	6		
h underbilling	40	5	23		15	10	5	8	4			90	Α÷

COOPERATIVE		tion Payment	Syste	m Loss	Collection								
COOPERATIVE	Major	Incentive	Major				ment to TRANSCO	Non- Power	Brgy. E	nergization	Demerit Points	1	2005
								Cost	Major	Incentive	Cash Adv.	Total	0.1
REGION XI					·		,				7144.	Total	Category
DAVÃO ORIENTAL	С		7		100							•	
	20	5	25	2	15.	10	5 5	Within 10	98		29	400	A+
DAVAO NORTE	C 20		16		96	C*	C*	Within I				100	
	20	4 1	0 1		15	. 10	5	10	99	,	0	72	В
DAVAO SUR	C	5	8 25	2	98	C	C	Within`	93**		0.5		
* with underbilling  ** including 32 barangays energized by NPC-SPU		- 1	20		15	10	5 -	10	8		25 0	100	A+

000000		ion Payment		m Loss	Collection	Payr	nent to	Non-	Bray, E	nergization	Demerit Points	1	2005
COOPERATIVE	Major	Incentive	Major	Incentive	Efficiency			Power	Major	Incentive	Cash		
L								Cost			Adv.	Total	Category
	*						*						, ,
REGION XII													
NORTH COTABATO	С		17		98	С	С	Within	92	<u></u>	971		
	. 20	5	. 0		15	10	5	10	8		(19)	54	D
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										L	
SOUTH COTABATO I	C		14		99	С	С	Within	99		0		
	20	2	20		15	10	5	10	8		0	90	A+
						٠.				۸ .			
SOUTH COTABATO II	C		14		96	С	C	(1)	91		19		
·	20	5	20		15	10	5.	8	8		0	91	A+
			· · ·										¥
SULTAN KUDARAT	C		14		98	С	С	Within	83	12	19		
	20	3	20		15	10	5	10	6.	1	0	90	A+



COORERATIVE		ion Payment		em Loss	Collection		nent to	Non-	Brgy. E	nergization	Demerit Points	. 2	2005
COOPERATIVE	Major	Incentive	Major	Incentive	Efficiency	GENCO	TRANSCO	Power Cost	Major		Cash Adv.		Catego
UTONOMOUS REGION IN USLIM MINDANAO (ARMM)													. ,
TAWI TAWI					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NO	N-COMPLY	ING					
SIASI ISLAND	C 20	5	9 25		77	Res -C		Within 10	65	10	48	77	· A
SULU	R		31	:	59	22		(3)	56	`	156	•	
	16		0	5	0	0		4	2		. (3)	24	E
BASILAN	(8)		20		75 0	Res -37 (5)		Within 10	96 8.	13 1	945 (18)	(12)	E
CAGAYAN DE SULU		·		·	n e	NON	N-COMPLYI	NG					
LANAO SUR			-				N-COMPLYI						
MAGUINDANÃO	C		27		86	C	1	Within	65	18 I	37		
ith offsetting scheme with NPC	20	4	0	1	. 6	10	4	10	4	1	0	60	С

## 2005 EC CATEGORIZATION

COOREDATIVE	Amortizat	ion Payment	Syste	em Loss	Collection	Davis			-				
COOPERATIVE	Major	Incentive	Major	Incentive	Efficiency		nent to TRANSCO		Brgy. E Major	nergization Incentive	Demerit Points Cash		2005 I
RAGA								Cost			Adv.	Total	Catego
AGUSAN NORTE	C 20	5	14 20		95 15	C 10	C* 5	(1)	100		48 0	93	A+
AGUSAN SUR	20	5	13 21		96 15	C 10	C 5	Within 10	88		1 0	92	<b>A</b> +
SURIGAO NORTE	C 20	1	21.	1	100	1** 8	C 5	Within 10	100		24	70	В
SIARGAO	C 20	5	9 25		100 15	C 10	C 5	Within 10	100 10+		0 0	100	A+
DINAGAT ISLAND	C 20		9 25		99 15	C 15		Within 10	92	11	0 0	94	A+
SURIGAO SUR I	C 20		14 20		96 15	C 10	C 5	Within 10	100		19	90	A+
SURIGAO SUR II	C 20		14 20		98	C		Within 10	96		11	90	A+

- current

restructured

- regular